## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO:		
CONFIRMATION NO	-	APPLICATION NO.:
ART UNIT:	-	FILING DATE:
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR:	l	CONFIRMATION NO2038
MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE Applicant(s) agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007  By: /Larry D. Baker/ Larry D. Baker	I	ART UNIT:
MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE Applicant(s) agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date:	I	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR:
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE Applicant(s) agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date:	-	HP DOCKET NO10014732-1
Applicant(s) agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007 By:	-	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007 By: //Larry D. Baker/Larry D. Baker		COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE
particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007 By: /Larry D. Baker/Larry D. Baker	-	Applicant(s) agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability,
to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007 By: //Larry D. Baker/ Larry D. Baker	-	without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In
invention is paraphrased.  Respectfully submitted,  Date: 05/25/2007 By: //Larry D. Baker/ Larry D. Baker	-	particular, Applicant(s) believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails
Respectfully submitted,  Date: <u>05/25/2007</u> By: <u>/Larry D. Baker/</u> Larry D. Baker		to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the
Date: <u>05/25/2007</u> By: <u>/Larry D. Baker/</u> Larry D. Baker	1	invention is paraphrased.
Larry D. Baker	-	Respectfully submitted,
		Larry D. Baker